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My research’s motivation: Flexible and powerful motions like humans

- Human body structures:
  - a spine structure, multi-DOFs, driven by redundant muscles
  - They are important in natural fullbody motions in sports.
    - For example, pitching motion of a baseball player
- Our interest:
  - How to develop more humanlike humanoids?
  - How to manage such a complicated body?

Flexible and powerful motion!
Our design approach: tendon-driven musculoskeletal humanoids

Passive bone structure and tendon modules

Joint structure can be simplified

-> more joints (DOFs), more sensor, more actuator!
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Small robots with spines
(1999, 2000, Mizuuchi et.al)

- Prototype spined and tendon-driven robot

Spine robot “Bebe”  Spine 4 legged robot “SQ43”  Spine small humanoid “Cla”
Spine robot BeBe

• Imitation of human detail spine
  – Using medical spine model with 24 vertebrae
  – Using 36 pneumatic actuators
    • Control only valve ON/OFF switching
  – CCD camera on head

• As simple platform for vision based motion learning with redundant DOFs and many actuators

Human spine structure (24 vertebrae)
4 legged robot SQ43 with flexible spine

- **Focus on spine’s flexibility**
  - Evaluation of influence to walking performance
  - 2 actuators for bending spine
  - Passive joint to twist its spine
  - No stiffness adjustment actuator (stiffness is constant)
Small spine robot Cla

Cla’s spine structure
- 5 spherical joint by 8 tendons
- Each tendon: force control based on sensor
  • spine stiffness control
Lifesized tendon-driven humanoid (2001~)

- More complicated, more humanlike body structure
  - how to design humanlike structure
  - how to contain many components in body

Kenta (2001~)
- Height: 135cm
- Weight: 45kg
- Joint DOFs: 58
- Muscle Num: 109

Kotaro (2005~)
- Height: 123cm
- Weight: 19kg
- Joint DOFs: 63
- Muscle Num: 108

Kojiro (2008~)
- Height: 130cm
- Weight: 20kg
- Joint DOFs: 63
- Muscle Num: 108

Kenzoh (2011~)
- Height: 90cm
- Weight: 35kg
- Joint DOFs: 46
- Muscle Num: 80 (only upper body)
Kenta: fullbody tendon-driven humanoid with complex spine structure (2001~)

- Complex spine structure
  - 10 spherical joints by 40 actuators
    - winding wire by rotating pulley
  - All tendon actuators has tension sensors

- Height: 123cm
- Weight: 19kg
- Joint DOFs: 73
- Muscle Num: 94
Kenta’s spine structure

- S curve like human spine
  - Adding flexibility in vertical direction of trunk body
- Costal bones
  - For attachment points for tendons
  - For large moment arm to generate enough torque
- Humanlike shape of vertebra
- Humanlike movable range of spine
  - bending: ±90 degree
Kotaro: Reinforceable fullbody musculoskeletal humanoids (2005～)

1. Totally more humanlike bone structure
   - spine, collarbone, bladebone, spherical hip joint

- Height: 130cm
- Weight: 20kg
- Joint DOFs: 63
- Muscle Num: 88-100
Kotaro: Reinforceable fullbody musculoskeletal humanoids (2005~)

1. Totally more humanlike bone structure
2. Adding more sensors
   - Tension sensor, vision sensor + Tactile sensor, IMU sensor

- Height: 130cm
- Weight: 20kg
- Joint DOFs: 63
- Muscle Num: 88-100

Using conductive foam

Using conductive rubber
Kotaro: Reinforceable fullbody musculoskeletal humanoids

(2005〜)

1. Totally more humanlike bone structure
2. Adding more sensors
3. Improvement of maintenance
   - Individually remove/add actuator unit
   -> Reinforceable tendons according to task

• Height: 130cm
• Weight: 20kg
• Joint DOFs: 63
• Muscle Num: 88-100
Kotaro: Reinforceable fullbody musculoskeletal humanoids （2005～）

1. Totally more humanlike bone structure
2. Adding more sensors
3. Improvement of maintenance
4. More familiar total design
   • for exhibition in Aichi Expo 2005

• Height: 130cm
• Weight: 20kg
• Joint DOFs: 63
• Muscle Num: 88-100
Kojiro: Powerful musculoskeletal humanoid 2008~

- Kenta, Kotaro: Too weak and fragile to do fullbody motion
  - we always have to repair robots before experiments

- Characteristics
  1. Same size and more powerful
     - Improvement of actuator system (4.5WDC motor⇒40WBrushless motor)
     - Increase of number of muscles (32muscles -> 44 muscles in lowerbody)

- In the old humanoid (Kotaro)
  - 4.5W DC motor
  - Cont. max tension 5 [kgf]

- In the new humanoid
  - 40W AC motor
  - Cont. max tension 28[kgf]
  - Cont. max velocity 95[mm/s]
Demonstrations of fullbody motion
Kojiro: Powerful musculoskeletal humanoid  2008~

Characteristics

1. Same size and more powerful
2. Adding mechanical stiffness adjustable tendon units to wrist
   • Reduction of impact shock peak

- Height: 135cm
- Weight: 45kg
- Joint DOFs: 58
- Muscle Num: 109
Demonstrations of using wrist joint flexibility

Thanks to nonlinear spring mechanism
  • Absorption of impact force
  • High speed motion using spring extension

Drumming motion

Nailing motion
Kenzoh: powerful and adaptive musculoskeletal humanoid 2011~

1. More powerful
   - Kojiro: 40W motor -> Kenzoh: 90W motor
   - Kojiro: 65 motors (upper body) -> Kenzoh: 80 motors

2. Mechanical nonlinear spring units are embedded in all muscles
   - Kojiro: only wrist part

- Height: 90cm
- Weight: 35kg
- Joint DOFs: 46
- Muscle Num: 80
  (only upper body)
Kenzoh’s demonstrations

Development of new musculoskeletal tendon-driven arm
1. High joint torque: using high geared motor
2. Joint softness: using nonlinear spring unit
3. High joint speed: using winding pulley with electromagnetic clutch

Ball catching demo
Without vision based motion control
Only using mechanical low stiffness simple reactive motion
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What is difficult to control our robots?

1. Control space is too large
   - Joint DOFs over 60, Actuator over 100, Sensor over 120
2. Difficulty to build precise computational robot model for control
   - Interference between tendons and bones
   - Collision, friction of tendon
3. Uncertainty of robot body
   - Elongation of tendons
   - Drift of sensors day by day (hour by hour)
   - Effect of friction of tendons, spherical joints

Our approach
   - Motion control based on simple sensor feedback like reflex without detail robot model
   - Acquisition of body model for control by learning process in the real world and real robot

Interference with tendon and bones

Geometric model ≠ Real body
Swing motion demonstration

- Example of motion control based on simple sensor feedback
  - Acquiring spine reflex movement parameters based on visual motion information
Searching parameters by trial and error

• In this case
  – Using simulation environment because Kenta is too fragile!
    • Only joint space simulation, not tendon space simulation
• Actually,
  – In the real world, the parameter from simulation does not work
    → Experimenter must modify the parameter for real robot
Learning parameters by trial and error in the real world

1. Trial in the real world
2. Evaluation of robot motion from sensor value
3. Modify motion parameters
   * Selecting parameters is important for convergence in the real world
Pedaling learning in the real world

Acquiring tension pattern of legs 16 muscles in pedaling based on pedaling pressure value

Initial motion trajectory is given

Self trial and error by robots

Pedaling on ground
Other demonstration by learning parameters in real world

• One step using spine motion
  – Parameters: spine goal angle and time step
  – Evaluation: swing of ZMP, gyro

• Rotation of crank
  – Parameters: muscle length reference of the arm during one cycle
  – Evaluation: Internal force measured by muscle tensions value
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Summary

• Development of musculoskeletal humanoids step by step
  – Redesign of bone/joint structures, materials
  ⇒ As frontier of developing new technology
  • More humanlike: more compact, lighter, stronger, more efficient, …

• Control of musculoskeletal humanoids by trial and error in the real world
  – Acquired motion is not optimized, however, it works recently.
  • Thanks to improvement of musculoskeletal humanoid body.
  – Problems
    • Every time, parameter re-learning is needed
    – How to re-use any learned information?
      » Probabilistic information
      » Based on relative information not absolute one
    • At each task, the parameters are selected by experimenter
      – How to generalize learning process?
        » Automatically robot must understand
        » What information or control parameter is important?

Bone by nylon Rapid prototype (SLS)
Covers by Rapid prototype (SLA)
Bone by metal Rapid prototype (SLS)
Silicone rubber
Spherical Joint-Angle Sensor using Tiny Mobilephone Camera
Smooth spherical joint by PTFE
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New musculoskeletal humanoid “Kenshiroh”

More similar to human musculoskeletal structure

- Arrangement of muscles, tendon paths
  - 15 muscles around hip joint
- Shape of bone structure
  - Pelvis, Spines, Knee joint
Recent works

New musculoskeletal humanoid “Kenshiroh”

- More similar to human musculoskeletal structure
  - Arrangement of muscles, tendon paths
    - 15 muscles around hip joint
  - Shape of bone structure
    - Pelvis, Spines, Knee joint
Kenshiro’s new actuator idea: planer muscle Osada, et.al. Humanoids2011
Thank you!

Outdoor Experimentation